

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 15 and 18 are the remaining independent claims in the present application. Each of these claims includes a limitation that is neither disclosed by nor made obvious in view of the prior art. For example, each independent claim now recites detecting first and second “positions” corresponding to a “location in space” of first and second display devices”. The detected positions are used for “directing the video streams to the display devices”.

Demas does not discuss positions of display screens at all.

Love is only concerned with positioning “display regions” relative to each other within a single screen. There is no determination of a display device’s position or location “in space” as recited by the current claims.

Yap only uses a single display device.

Piper is not concerned with, nor does it address a position of a display in space.

Applicant respectfully submits that the present claims are in condition for allowance and an early Notice of Allowance is earnestly sought. The undersigned may be contacted at the telephone number below at the Examiner’s convenience if it would help in the prosecution of this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

TRELLIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
LAW GROUP, PC

By /Charles J. Kulas/
Charles J. Kulas
Reg. No. 35,809
Tel.: 415-279-5098